



# Accounting for Income Taxes

## A Path Towards Simplicity – ASU 2013-11

### Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP)

The Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) establishes financial accounting and reporting standards for public and private companies and not-for-profit organizations that follow Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP). The FASB is recognized by the Securities and Exchange Commission as the designated accounting standard setter for public companies. FASB standards are recognized as authoritative by many other organizations, including state Boards of Accountancy and the American Institute of CPAs (AICPA).

The FASB issues an Accounting Standards Update (“ASU”) to communicate changes to the FASB Codification, including changes to non-authoritative SEC content. Since September 2009, the FASB has issued various ASU’s that have a direct impact to Accounting Standards Codification (“ASC”) 740 Income Taxes. This is the second in a series of KBF Technical Alerts that discusses the various ASUs issued by the FASB since 2009 related to ASC 740.



# Accounting for Uncertain Tax Positions

## – Background

The rules for the accounting for uncertain tax positions (“UTPs”) are found in ASC 740. The rules clarify the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in an entity’s financial statements. They establish rules for recognizing and measuring tax positions taken in an income tax return.

**“The UTP rules mandate that companies evaluate all material income tax positions for periods that remain open under applicable statutes of limitation, as well as positions expected to be taken in future returns.”**

The UTP rules then impose a recognition threshold on each tax position. A company can recognize an income tax benefit only if the position has a “more likely than not” (i.e., more than 50 percent) chance of being sustained on the technical merits. In making this determination, the possibility that the company will not be audited, or the position will escape an auditor’s notice, cannot be taken into account.

If a tax position does not meet the “more likely than not” recognition threshold in the first tax period, the benefits cannot be recognized. However, they may be recognized later if: (1) the threshold is met in a later period; (2) the matter is resolved with the appropriate taxing authority; or (3) the statute of limitations expires. The UTP rules call for derecognition of a previously recognized position in the first tax period in which it is no longer “more likely than not” that the position would be sustained on its technical merits.

If a position passes the recognition threshold, then as a second step, the UTP rules require that the position be measured to determine the amount of benefit to recognize in the financial statements. The tax position is measured at the largest amount of benefit that is greater than 50% likely of being realized upon settlement.

***The UTP rules apply to all entities that prepare GAAP financial statements.<sup>1</sup>***



## Classification/Presentation of an Unrecognized Tax Benefit When a Net Operating Loss (“NOL”) or Tax Credit Carryforwards Exist

ASC 740 does not include explicit guidance on the financial statement presentation of an unrecognized tax benefit when a NOL, a similar tax loss, or a tax credit carryforward exists.

Prior to additional clarification from the FASB, there was diversity in practice in the presentation of unrecognized tax benefits in those instances. Specifically, the diversity concerned how the balance sheet presented UTPs when a tax attribute (e.g., a NOL or tax credit) deferred tax asset (“DTA”) would potentially be available to offset the tax if the UTPs were settled at their book values before the utilization of the tax attribute DTA.

Some entities presented all UTPs as a reduction of the tax attribute DTA if the tax attribute could offset the tax due on the settlement of UTPs (i.e., “net” presentation). Other entities presented UTPs as liabilities and not as a reduction to the tax attribute DTA (“gross” presentation), unless the UTPs increased the tax attribute DTA in which case the “net” presentation was used.

For example, assume in 2012, taxpayer had an UTP related to deductions for meals and entertainment that were fully deducted and not subject to the 50% limitation. The deduction resulted in a larger NOL by \$1,000,000 in 2012. The taxpayer's tax rate was 35%. Under the “gross” basis, the company would record a UTP liability of \$350,000 ( $\$1,000,000 \times 35\%$ ) and not impact the NOL DTA. Under the “net” basis, the company would reduce the value of the NOL DTA by \$350,000 and would not record the UTP liability.



### ASU 2013-11 Presentation of an Unrecognized Tax Benefit When a Net Operating Loss Carryforward, a Similar Tax Loss, or a Tax Credit Carryforward Exists

Pursuant to ASU 2013-11, an UTP, or a portion of an UTP, should be presented in the financial statements as a reduction to a deferred tax asset for a NOL, a similar tax loss, or a tax credit carryforward, except as follows.

To the extent a NOL, a similar tax loss, or a tax credit carryforward is not available at the reporting date under the tax law of the applicable jurisdiction to settle any additional income taxes that would result from the disallowance of a tax position or the tax law of the applicable jurisdiction does not require the entity to use, and the entity does not intend to use, the DTA for such purpose, the UTP should be presented in the financial statements as a liability and should not be combined with DTAs. The assessment of whether a DTA is available is based on the UTP and DTA that exist at the reporting date and should be made presuming disallowance of the tax position at the reporting date.

<sup>1</sup>*Accounting for Uncertain Tax Positions (FIN 48) Manager, Wolters Kluwer*

## Classification/Presentation of an Unrecognized Tax Benefit When a Net Operating Loss (“NOL”) or Tax Credit Carryforwards Exist - Continued

For example, an entity should not evaluate whether the DTA expires before the statute of limitations on the tax position or whether the DTA may be used prior to the UTP being settled. The amendments in the update do not require new recurring disclosures.

Thus, ASU 2013-11 reduced the diversity in practice by providing guidance on the presentation of unrecognized tax benefits and better reflected the manner in which an entity would settle at the reporting date any additional income taxes that would result from the disallowance of a tax position when net operating loss carryforwards, similar tax losses, or tax credit carryforwards exist.

### Effective Date

The amendments in ASU 2013-11 were effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2013. For nonpublic entities, the amendments were effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2014. Early adoption was permitted. The amendments should have been applied prospectively to all unrecognized tax benefits that exist at the effective date. Retrospective application was also permitted.

